

The Role of HR Analytics and Emotional Intelligence in Enhancing Employee Engagement: A Study on Startup Companies in Indonesia

Yusuf Pathuansyah¹, Rizky Mery Octavianna Lubis², Nurdelila³

^{1, 2, 3}Department of Management, Universitas Graha Nusantara

Article Info	ABSTRACT
Keywords: HR Analytics, Emotional, Intelligence.	This study examines how human resource (HR) analytics and emotional intelligence jointly enhance employee engagement in Indonesian startup companies. A qualitative evidence-synthesis design was adopted to avoid attributing invented primary data to organizations or employees. The analytical corpus comprised peer-reviewed studies, qualitative startup research, systematic reviews, an official startup-ecosystem report, and Indonesia's personal-data-protection regulation. Documents were purposively selected for their relevance to HR analytics, emotional intelligence, engagement, startup employment, and the Indonesian context. Reflexive thematic analysis generated six interrelated themes: analytics as an organizational listening infrastructure; emotional intelligence as a human interpretive capability; conversion of insight into individualized action; psychological safety and data trust; startup contingencies; and engagement as a reciprocal, dynamic state. The findings indicate that analytics does not directly create engagement. It strengthens engagement when reliable employee data are interpreted by emotionally intelligent leaders, translated into visible and fair interventions, and governed through transparent data practices. Conversely, intrusive monitoring, decontextualized metrics, or managerial insensitivity can reduce trust and disengage employees. The study proposes a Humanized Analytics–Engagement framework in which emotional intelligence converts analytical visibility into relationally appropriate action, while ethical governance preserves psychological safety. The article extends engagement and Job Demands–Resources perspectives to data-intensive startup workplaces and provides a practical implementation model for Indonesian founders and HR leaders.
This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license	Corresponding Author: Yusuf Pathuansyah Department of Management, Universitas Graha Nusantara



INTRODUCTION

Employee engagement has become a central concern for startup companies because young firms depend disproportionately on employee initiative, adaptability, learning, and discretionary effort. Unlike mature organizations, startups generally operate with evolving structures, constrained resources, compressed decision cycles, fluid job boundaries, and significant uncertainty. These features may create meaningful and challenging work, yet they can also produce role ambiguity, excessive workload, emotional exhaustion, job insecurity,

and perceptions of procedural inconsistency. Engagement is therefore not a peripheral “culture” outcome in a startup; it is a strategic condition that influences whether employees continue to contribute energy, creativity, and attention when organizational systems remain incomplete (Ismandra and Supriadi, 2026).

The Indonesian setting makes this problem especially consequential. The 2025 Global Startup Ecosystem Index placed Indonesia 45th globally and identified eight ranked startup cities; Jakarta ranked 30th among cities, while Bandung, Surabaya, Denpasar, Yogyakarta, Medan, Semarang, and Malang formed a geographically dispersed secondary tier. StartupBlink’s company-level directory also listed 961 ranked startups in Indonesia and positioned the country third in Southeast Asia at the time of reporting. These indicators demonstrate substantial entrepreneurial activity, but they do not imply that people-management capability is equally mature. Rapid scaling may expose gaps in workforce planning, manager readiness, career architecture, employee listening, and data governance precisely when firms need sustained commitment.

Research concerning Indonesian startup employees already points to the importance of supportive work design. A qualitative study involving 12 participants across Indonesian digital startups identified flexible work, continuous learning, digital communication, transparent leadership, autonomy, and career growth as important engagement conditions. Another qualitative investigation of leaders from ten high-growth startups in Jakarta, Bandung, and Surabaya emphasized meaning-driven culture, flexibility, individualized career pathways, founder involvement, and agile feedback loops as retention mechanisms. Quantitative evidence from Indonesian startup settings similarly associates perceived organizational support and job characteristics with work engagement and performance, although much of this literature remains cross-sectional and geographically concentrated. Taken together, this evidence suggests that startup engagement depends on both organizational visibility—knowing where employee needs and risks are located—and interpersonal responsiveness—acting on that knowledge in a credible and humane manner (Dr. R. Meenakshi Devi and Dr. R. Sofia, 2026).

HR analytics promises the first capability. Broadly, HR analytics refers to the systematic use of workforce-related data, analytical methods, and evidence to inform decisions concerning employees and organizational performance. It extends beyond descriptive headcount reporting by connecting data about recruitment, performance, learning, mobility, absence, sentiment, retention, and employee experience to strategic questions. An influential evidence-based review found that the early academic evidence base was surprisingly limited: only 14 of 60 identified articles met the review’s quality criteria, and only a subset reported empirical analysis. Subsequent research has expanded, but adoption challenges continue to involve analytical skills, data availability, managerial support, HR technology literacy, culture, and trust.

For startups, HR analytics may be especially attractive because leaders must allocate scarce resources quickly. Pulse surveys can identify workload hotspots; turnover analysis can reveal vulnerable roles; recruitment data can expose bottlenecks; learning records can identify skill gaps; and workforce dashboards can provide early warnings about burnout, inequity, or

declining commitment. Yet the informational advantage is not self-executing. A dashboard can show that a product team is exhausted without explaining the emotional meaning of repeated deadline changes. Sentiment analysis can classify negative language without distinguishing constructive dissent from withdrawal. Attrition models can identify an employee as “high risk” while overlooking a broken relationship with a manager. Analytics can therefore increase visibility while still producing poor decisions if its users lack contextual judgment (Radhika and Pothuri, 2026).

Emotional intelligence provides a plausible second capability. Emotional intelligence encompasses the capacity to perceive, understand, use, and regulate emotion in oneself and in social relationships. In workplaces, this capability can help leaders recognize emotional cues, manage their reactions, conduct difficult conversations, respond empathically, and select interventions appropriate to employees’ circumstances. A psychometric meta-analysis covering 253 effect sizes and 78,159 participants found that emotional intelligence was positively associated with organizational commitment, organizational citizenship behavior, job satisfaction, and job performance, and negatively associated with job stress. A separate meta-analysis reported positive relationships between major emotional-intelligence approaches and job satisfaction, as well as associations with stronger organizational commitment and lower turnover intentions. Although these outcomes are not identical to engagement, they describe the motivational and relational environment in which engagement develops.

Theoretically, employee engagement concerns the active investment of the self in work roles. Kahn’s foundational qualitative research conceptualized personal engagement as simultaneous physical, cognitive, and emotional presence and identified meaningfulness, psychological safety, and availability as core conditions. This perspective is highly relevant to digitally monitored work. HR analytics may support meaningfulness by aligning work and development opportunities, safety by revealing unfairness and encouraging voice, and availability by detecting unsustainable demands. However, the same technology may undermine safety if employees experience data collection as surveillance or fear that an algorithm will classify them without explanation (Suyanto Suyanto, Rachmad Ilham and Adiba Fuad Syamlan, 2025).

Job Demands–Resources (JD–R) theory offers a complementary account. Job demands consume sustained physical or psychological effort, whereas job resources help employees achieve goals, reduce the costs of demands, or stimulate learning and growth. Resources such as autonomy, feedback, support, and development opportunities initiate a motivational process that supports work engagement; excessive demands with inadequate resources contribute to strain and burnout. HR analytics can make the distribution of demands and resources visible, while emotionally intelligent leadership can influence whether corrective actions are experienced as supportive resources. The combination is therefore more theoretically meaningful than examining either capability in isolation.

A critical complication is that workforce data are relational rather than merely technical. Employees interpret why data are collected, who can access them, how conclusions are drawn, and whether the resulting decisions are fair. A scoping review of people analytics

identified a marked absence of ethical consideration in parts of the field and highlighted risks related to social-media or email monitoring, algorithmic bias, transparency, and consent. Later ethical analysis emphasized privacy, autonomy, fairness, proportionality, stakeholder inclusion, data rights, and the possibility that pervasive monitoring may produce dissatisfaction or a “panopticon” effect. Indonesia’s Law No. 27 of 2022 requires a lawful basis for personal-data processing and establishes principles including protection, legal certainty, prudence, accountability, and confidentiality; official guidance also states that processing must be limited, specific, lawful, and transparent. Thus, ethical governance is not an optional add-on to engagement analytics in Indonesia but part of the institutional context through which trust is constructed (I and P, 2026).

Despite the relevance of these relationships, three gaps remain. First, HR analytics and emotional intelligence are usually examined in separate literatures. Analytics research concentrates on capability, technology, strategic alignment, or organizational performance, while emotional-intelligence research focuses on individual differences, leadership, stress, attitudes, and performance. This separation obscures a central implementation problem: workforce insight must be interpreted and enacted by people. Second, Indonesian startup engagement research has primarily examined leadership, organizational support, work–life balance, employer branding, or general HR practices. A 2026 scoping review found only three eligible studies directly connecting perceived organizational support and work engagement among Indonesian startup employees, illustrating the narrow evidence base at this intersection. Third, much available research relies on cross-sectional surveys, limiting understanding of the mechanisms through which data and emotion-sensitive management become everyday engagement experiences.

A qualitative synthesis is suitable for addressing these gaps because the research question is explanatory rather than merely predictive. The concern is not only whether HR analytics and emotional intelligence correlate with engagement, but how analytical information is translated into decisions, under what conditions employees experience those decisions as supportive, and why the same technology may create either voice or surveillance. Qualitative evidence can integrate reported experiences, mechanisms, contextual conditions, and ethical tensions that are difficult to reduce to a single coefficient.

Accordingly, the objective of this study is to develop a contextually grounded explanation of how HR analytics and emotional intelligence interact to enhance employee engagement in Indonesian startup companies. Four specific objectives guide the inquiry: (1) to identify the HR-analytics practices most relevant to engagement in startup environments; (2) to explain how emotional intelligence shapes the interpretation and use of workforce insight; (3) to examine the organizational, ethical, and contextual conditions that enable or inhibit this relationship; and (4) to construct an integrative framework and actionable recommendations for startup founders, people leaders, and HR professionals. The study asks: How do HR analytics and emotional intelligence jointly influence employee engagement in Indonesian startups, and what boundary conditions determine whether their integration produces supportive or harmful employee experiences? (C. and K, 2026)

The study contributes to theory by positioning emotional intelligence as an interpretive and relational mechanism between analytical visibility and employee experience. It also extends JD–R theory by conceptualizing ethical analytics as a potential organizational resource that improves resource allocation, while recognizing that opaque or intrusive analytics can become an additional demand. Practically, it offers an implementation sequence in which startups define an engagement problem, collect proportionate data, interpret patterns contextually, conduct emotionally intelligent dialogue, co-design a response, and close the feedback loop. This sequence shifts the emphasis from “having a dashboard” to building an organizational capability for evidence-informed and emotionally responsible action.

METHODS

Research design

The study employed a qualitative evidence synthesis combined with comparative document analysis. This design was selected because no original interview, observation, or company dataset was supplied, and generating fictional participants or quotations would violate research integrity. The article consequently analyzes published empirical findings, theoretical scholarship, official ecosystem data, and regulation as a bounded documentary dataset. Quantitative studies were eligible as documents when their reported findings illuminated the Indonesian startup context; numerical effects were treated as contextual evidence rather than pooled statistically (Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, 2017).

The unit of analysis was the documented mechanism linking workforce information, emotional or relational capability, organizational action, and engagement-related outcomes. The approach was interpretive and abductive. Initial sensitizing concepts were drawn from Kahn’s engagement conditions, JD–R theory, social-exchange reasoning, HR-analytics capability, and emotional intelligence. At the same time, coding remained open to context-specific categories such as founder proximity, organizational informality, data fragmentation, rapid role change, flexible work, and employee concern about monitoring.

Table 1. Research Questions

Research question	Analytical purpose
RQ1. Which HR-analytics practices are relevant to engagement in Indonesian startups?	Identify data sources, analytical uses, and decision applications.
RQ2. How does emotional intelligence shape the interpretation and use of workforce data?	Explain the human mechanism connecting insight to action.
RQ3. Which contextual and ethical conditions enable or inhibit the relationship?	Identify boundary conditions, risks, and governance requirements.
RQ4. What integrated process can guide startup practice?	Develop a theoretically grounded implementation framework.

Search and selection strategy

The search was conducted iteratively across peer-reviewed and institutional web sources using combinations of the terms “HR analytics,” “people analytics,” “emotional intelligence,” “employee engagement,” “work engagement,” “startup,” “Indonesia,” “qualitative,” “ethics,” “privacy,” and “Job Demands–Resources.” Foundational engagement and analytics sources were included to establish construct definitions and mechanisms. Indonesian studies were prioritized when they directly examined startup employees, HR practices, engagement, retention, work–life balance, perceived organizational support, or performance.

Documents were included when they satisfied at least one substantive criterion and one quality criterion. Substantive criteria were: direct analysis of HR or people analytics; analysis of emotional intelligence and work outcomes; engagement theory; empirical evidence involving Indonesian startups; authoritative description of Indonesia’s startup ecosystem; or binding Indonesian personal-data regulation. Quality criteria were: peer-reviewed publication, official institutional publication, transparent methods, clear sample or corpus description, or foundational theoretical influence. Purely promotional materials, unsupported opinion pieces, duplicate records, and sources without identifiable analytical relevance were excluded.

The final core corpus comprised 15 documentary units. It deliberately combined global foundational evidence with Indonesian contextual evidence. Such heterogeneity was appropriate because the purpose was conceptual integration rather than effect-size estimation. The corpus also allowed triangulation among theory, meta-analytic findings, qualitative startup accounts, quantitative Indonesian studies, ecosystem indicators, and legal requirements.

Table 2. Documentary corpus

ID	Evidence type and scope	Contribution to synthesis
D1	Official Global Startup Ecosystem Index 2025	Geographic and ecosystem context for Indonesia.
D2	Foundational qualitative engagement study	Meaningfulness, safety, availability, and personal presence.
D3	JD–R theoretical review	Demands, resources, motivational and health-impairment processes.
D4	Evidence-based HR-analytics review	Definition, capability conditions, and weakness of early evidence.
D5	People-analytics scoping review	Conceptual scope, privacy, consent, bias, and transparency risks.

ID	Evidence type and scope	Contribution to synthesis
D6	Ethics of people-analytics review	Fairness, proportionality, autonomy, stakeholder inclusion, and data rights.
D7	Psychometric meta-analysis of emotional intelligence	Relationships with commitment, satisfaction, performance, citizenship, and stress.
D8	Meta-analysis of emotional intelligence and work attitudes	Job satisfaction, commitment, and turnover-intention pathways.
D9	Qualitative study of 12 Indonesian digital-startup participants	Flexibility, learning, communication, leadership, and autonomy.
D10	Qualitative study of ten high-growth Indonesian startups	Purpose, individualized careers, founder engagement, and agile feedback.
D11	Indonesian startup work-life balance study, 188 respondents	Work-life balance as a resource associated with engagement.
D12	Indonesian startup POS-engagement scoping review	Evidence concentration, effect consistency, and research gaps.
D13	Indonesian IT-startup study, 389 employees	Job characteristics, support, engagement, and performance.
D14	Indonesian startup flexibility study, 247 employees	Flexible work, sustainable HR practices, commitment, and stress.
D15	Indonesia Law No. 27 of 2022	Legal basis, transparency, security, accountability, and data-subject rights.

Data extraction

A structured extraction matrix was developed with the following fields: publication type; setting; participants or documentary scope; definition of key constructs; HR-data sources; analytical use; emotional or leadership mechanism; engagement antecedent; engagement-related outcome; enabling condition; inhibiting condition; ethical issue; and relevance to Indonesian startups. For empirical studies, the extraction retained the reported design and sample so that qualitative and quantitative evidence would not be conflated. For reviews, the extraction focused on the review question, corpus, conclusions, and limitations. For official documents, only verifiable ecosystem or legal provisions relevant to the research question were retained.

No verbatim interview quotation was created, paraphrased as primary data, or attributed to an unidentified participant. The results use document identifiers and source citations so that readers can distinguish evidence from interpretation. Numerical values reported in tables derive from the cited sources or from transparent document-level coding; document incidence is not presented as a prevalence estimate for all startups.

Thematic analysis

The synthesis followed the six phases commonly associated with Braun and Clarke: familiarization, initial coding, searching for themes, reviewing themes, defining and naming themes, and producing the report. The process was recursive rather than linear, with movement between coding, theme construction, theoretical comparison, and source re-reading, consistent with contemporary guidance on reflexive thematic analysis.

During familiarization, documents were read for explicit findings and implicit causal assumptions. First-cycle coding used concise descriptive labels such as “pulse visibility,” “workload signal,” “manager defensiveness,” “contextual interpretation,” “personalized development,” “founder accessibility,” “privacy concern,” “feedback closure,” and “support reciprocity.” Second-cycle coding clustered these labels into broader mechanism categories. Candidate themes were reviewed against the complete corpus and retained when they had a coherent organizing concept, explanatory relevance to at least one research question, and support from more than one evidence type.

Abductive comparison connected emergent patterns to Kahn and JD–R theory. For example, privacy and fear codes were examined as threats to psychological safety; workload and ambiguity were treated as job demands; feedback, flexibility, development, and manager support were examined as job resources; and emotionally intelligent interpretation was treated as a personal and leadership resource. Negative-case analysis asked when analytics could decrease engagement and when emotionally intelligent behavior might fail to create structural change.

Trustworthiness

Credibility was strengthened through data-source triangulation across meta-analyses, qualitative studies, quantitative studies, reviews, official ecosystem data, and legislation. Dependability was supported by a defined extraction framework and an auditable document table. Confirmability was addressed by separating source-reported findings from interpretive synthesis and by explicitly searching for adverse pathways, including surveillance, algorithmic bias, reductionism, and inaction. Transferability was supported through a thick description of startup conditions rather than claims of statistical generalization.

The design nevertheless has limitations. Search-engine accessibility may favor open sources and does not reproduce a subscription-database systematic review. The corpus combines diverse constructs and designs, and several Indonesian studies are geographically concentrated in Java or technology-intensive sectors. Documentary findings cannot replace direct employee accounts from organizations currently implementing HR analytics. The study

should therefore be evaluated as an integrative qualitative explanation and framework-building exercise, not as evidence of causal effect size.

Ethics

Because the study analyzed public documents and did not recruit human participants or process identifiable participant data, institutional human-subject consent was not required for this secondary synthesis. Ethical responsibility remained relevant in accurate source representation, avoidance of fabricated findings, and careful handling of claims about employee monitoring. The proposed practice framework aligns with Indonesia's requirement that personal-data processing be lawful, limited, specific, transparent, secure, and accountable.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The ecosystem evidence shows a strong concentration around Jakarta alongside emerging regional hubs. In the 2025 index, Jakarta's ecosystem score of 32.251 substantially exceeded Bandung at 1.814, Surabaya at 0.611, Denpasar at 0.339, and Yogyakarta at 0.289. This concentration matters for HR practice: larger Jakarta-based firms may have specialized HR-technology and analytics roles, whereas smaller regional startups may rely on generalists, founders, and simple employee-listening systems. The chart is contextual and does not measure employee engagement; it indicates the uneven organizational environments within which people practices are developed.

Table 3. Context of Indonesian Startups

Indonesian city	Global rank, 2025	Ecosystem score	Annual ecosystem growth
Jakarta	30	32.251	5.9%
Bandung	261	1.814	4.2%
Surabaya	478	0.611	99.2%
Denpasar	646	0.339	21.5%
Yogyakarta	702	0.289	19.9%

Note: Values are ecosystem indicators, not workforce or engagement scores. Source: Global Startup Ecosystem Index 2025.

The documentary analysis produced six themes. Their incidence indicates how many of the 15 core documents substantially informed each theme; it should not be interpreted as the proportion of Indonesian companies adopting a practice. Themes overlap because one document can support several mechanisms.

Table 4. Analysis Six Themes

Theme	Core mechanism	Documentary incidence	Engagement relevance
1. Analytics as organizational listening	Converts dispersed workforce experience into visible patterns	9 of 15	Improves diagnosis of demands, resources, inequity, and change
2. Emotional intelligence as interpretive capability	Adds context, empathy, self-regulation, and dialogue	7 of 15	Prevents mechanistic responses and supports relational quality
3. Insight-to-action conversion	Translates evidence into tailored resources and work redesign	10 of 15	Demonstrates support, fairness, and responsiveness
4. Psychological safety and data trust	Governs purpose, consent, access, explainability, and security	7 of 15	Determines whether listening is experienced as voice or surveillance
5. Startup contingencies	Shapes feasibility through informality, founder proximity, speed, and scarcity	8 of 15	Can accelerate action but magnify inconsistency and overload
6. Engagement as reciprocal and dynamic	Emerges through repeated cycles of listening, action, and feedback	11 of 15	Sustains meaningfulness, safety, availability, vigor, and commitment

Analytics as listening infrastructure

The first theme conceptualizes HR analytics as an organizational listening infrastructure rather than a dashboard. Across the corpus, the strongest engagement value came from making otherwise fragmented experiences visible: workload differences, manager-quality variation, development barriers, flexible-work needs, regrettable turnover patterns, and uneven access to recognition. HR analytics creates a shared evidence base that can challenge anecdotal decision-making. In startup environments, where founders may receive information through informal networks, systematic listening also reduces the risk that only confident, proximate, or highly connected employees are heard.

Three analytical levels were distinguishable. Descriptive analytics answers what is happening through engagement scores, turnover, absence, internal mobility, learning participation, workload, or team distribution. Diagnostic analytics explores why patterns occur by connecting survey themes with manager practices, job design, work arrangements, tenure, role, or organizational events. Predictive analytics estimates what may happen, such as elevated exit risk or likely skill shortage. The synthesis indicates that startups should establish trustworthy descriptive and diagnostic capability before adopting prediction. Predictive precision is of limited value when definitions are unstable, samples are small, or managers cannot act on the output.

Indonesian startup studies indirectly support this priority. Qualitative evidence emphasizes flexible work, learning, communication, leadership transparency, autonomy, purpose, individualized development, and feedback loops. These constructs can be operationalized as employee-listening topics without requiring invasive behavioral monitoring. Quantitative Indonesian research also identifies work–life balance, organizational support, job characteristics, and sustainable HR practices as relevant resources. The implication is that engagement analytics should measure actionable work conditions, not merely ask whether employees feel engaged.

The theme also exposed a maturity distinction between metrics and insight. Metrics record events; insight proposes an evidence-supported explanation relevant to a decision. A turnover rate does not reveal whether departures stem from compensation, weak management, unsustainable work, role stagnation, or uncertainty. Likewise, an aggregate engagement score can conceal important variation among teams, employment arrangements, demographic groups, or tenure cohorts. Meaningful analytics therefore requires segmentation, qualitative comments, event context, and a decision owner.

Emotional intelligence as interpretation

The second theme identifies emotional intelligence as the capability that humanizes analytical visibility. Analytics signals where attention is needed; emotional intelligence shapes how leaders approach the people represented by the signal. The corpus associated emotional intelligence with commitment, satisfaction, lower stress, citizenship behavior, performance, and reduced turnover intention. These relationships suggest that emotionally intelligent leadership creates a relational climate compatible with engagement, especially when managers must discuss adverse data.

Four mechanisms were identified. First, self-awareness helps leaders recognize defensiveness when survey results criticize leadership or expose inequity. Without self-awareness, managers may dispute the data, search for dissenters, or frame low scores as employee ingratitude. Second, self-regulation enables a measured response rather than impulsive correction or blame. Third, social awareness supports interpretation of emotional cues, power differences, and culturally shaped reluctance to challenge authority. Fourth, relationship management enables leaders to explain results, invite meaning, acknowledge uncertainty, and negotiate action.

Emotional intelligence also improves construct validity in use. A model may classify a team as disengaged because of negative comments and reduced participation, but dialogue may reveal intense commitment combined with frustration about preventable obstacles. Conversely, high output can conceal fear-based overwork. Emotionally intelligent inquiry prevents managers from equating compliance, positivity, or long hours with authentic engagement. This finding aligns with Kahn's distinction between personal presence and defensive withdrawal: observable activity alone does not reveal whether employees feel meaningful, safe, and available.

However, the synthesis did not support emotional intelligence as a substitute for structural resources. Empathy without workload change may validate distress while leaving its cause intact. Skilled conversation without fair pay, development opportunity, job clarity, or manager accountability may increase cynicism. Emotional intelligence adds value when it connects understanding to a decision, resource, or transparent explanation of constraints.

Converting insight into action

The third and most practically prominent theme concerns conversion. Engagement improves not because employees are surveyed, but because evidence produces relevant changes. The corpus suggested five recurrent action domains: work design, manager behavior, growth and mobility, flexibility and wellbeing, and recognition and fairness. These correspond closely to JD-R resources such as autonomy, feedback, social support, development, and control

Discussion

The study set out to explain how HR analytics and emotional intelligence jointly influence employee engagement in Indonesian startups. The central finding is that their relationship is complementary and sequential rather than additive. HR analytics provides visibility, but visibility becomes an engagement resource only when leaders interpret it with emotional intelligence, translate it into fair and relevant action, and protect employee agency through ethical governance. Analytics is therefore neither the direct cause of engagement nor a neutral tool. Its effect depends on the social process surrounding collection, interpretation, intervention, and communication (Bhadoria and Kushwah, 2026)(Rochman, Meilani and Susanto, 2026).

The first theoretical contribution is the positioning of emotional intelligence as an interpretive micro-foundation of HR-analytics capability. Existing analytics literature often emphasizes data quality, technology, statistical skill, strategic alignment, and leadership support. These are necessary but incomplete. Workforce evidence is socially embedded: the same pattern can carry different meanings depending on organizational history, power, emotion, and identity. Emotional intelligence explains how leaders remain receptive to negative information, notice affective cues, regulate defensive responses, and conduct dialogue that improves interpretation. It is thus a mechanism through which analytical information becomes actionable organizational knowledge.

This contribution also clarifies why analytics adoption may fail despite technically competent systems. A startup can purchase an HR platform, integrate survey data, and build dashboards without changing managerial behavior. If a founder interprets criticism as disloyalty, a low engagement score may trigger pressure rather than learning. If a manager lacks empathy, an attrition-risk flag may lead to intrusive questioning rather than career dialogue. The implementation gap is therefore partly emotional and relational, not merely technical (Amudha and Bharanidharan, 2026).

The second contribution extends Kahn's engagement model to data-intensive workplaces. Analytics can support meaningfulness when it enables role enrichment, fair recognition, skill development, and alignment between individual contribution and organizational purpose. It can support availability when it identifies workload excess, meeting overload, role conflict, or inadequate recovery. It can support safety when it provides protected voice and detects inequity. Yet the duality is important: intrusive monitoring can diminish safety, algorithmic labeling can reduce meaningfulness by objectifying the employee, and constant measurement can consume psychological availability. The effect of analytics depends on whether employees experience it as organizational listening or organizational watching (Dr. C. Kathiravan, Kamila sreen. S and Rubasri.S, 2026).

The third contribution extends JD–R theory by treating humanized analytics as a second-order organizational resource. Conventional resources include autonomy, support, feedback, and development. Analytics does not replace these resources; it improves the organization's capacity to diagnose where they are absent and allocate them more accurately. Emotional intelligence then supports the relational delivery of the resource. In this formulation, analytics is a resource-enabling capability rather than a resource in isolation.

The framework also recognizes analytics as a potential job demand. Repeated surveys, behavioral tracking, constant performance visibility, or pressure to optimize personal metrics can require emotional labor and self-monitoring. Employees may devote energy to managing their digital trace rather than performing meaningful work. This interpretation complements JD–R evidence that demands do not have uniform effects: challenge demands may motivate when resources are abundant, whereas hindrance demands reduce engagement. Transparent, participative analytics may function as a challenge-support system; opaque surveillance is more likely to become a hindrance (Kavaljit Kour, 2025).

The fourth contribution concerns social exchange. The value of employee listening depends on reciprocity. When employees provide information and the organization responds with visible support, the exchange communicates respect and strengthens engagement. When organizations repeatedly solicit feedback but do not act, the exchange becomes extractive. Survey fatigue is therefore not simply questionnaire fatigue; it can be interpreted as broken reciprocity. Closing the feedback loop is a substantive engagement intervention because it demonstrates that voice has consequences.

Interdependence of analytics and EI

The findings support a configuration perspective. Four combinations clarify why integration matters.

Table 5. Interdependence of Analytics

HR analytics	Emotional intelligence	Likely organizational pattern	Expected engagement implication
Low	Low	Decisions driven by intuition, urgency, hierarchy, and inconsistent manager behavior	Low visibility and low relational support
High	Low	Sophisticated measurement with mechanistic, defensive, or intrusive interpretation	Possible efficiency gains but elevated trust and safety risks
Low	High	Caring relationships and responsive conversations without systematic evidence	Local support, but inconsistency and blind spots remain
High	High	Evidence-informed dialogue, proportionate data use, tailored action, and feedback closure	Strongest conditions for sustainable engagement

The high-analytics/low-EI quadrant deserves particular attention because it can appear advanced while damaging engagement. Detailed dashboards may encourage leaders to treat a probabilistic output as an employee's identity. A predicted flight risk can become a stigma; sentiment classification can pathologize dissent; and performance data can crowd out contextual information. Emotional intelligence creates a pause between signal and judgment. It encourages leaders to ask what alternative explanations exist, whose voice is absent, and how to discuss the finding without causing threat (Saxena, 2025).

The low-analytics/high-EI quadrant is more supportive but also limited. Founders often rely on close relationships and intuition during an early stage. This can work while the team is small, yet informal empathy does not guarantee equitable access. Employees who share language, background, work location, or interpersonal style with decision-makers may receive more attention. Basic analytics can reveal whose experiences are missing and whether supportive practices are distributed consistently.

Indonesian startup implications

The Indonesian startup context adds institutional and cultural considerations. Founder-led organizations can use short decision chains to respond rapidly, but concentrated power increases the importance of psychological safety. Employees may hesitate to contradict leaders when employment uncertainty is salient. Anonymous or confidential listening can help, but anonymity is difficult in very small teams. In such settings, aggregated reporting thresholds, third-party facilitation, skip-level channels, and explicit non-retaliation norms are more important than granular dashboards.

The evidence from Indonesian startups emphasizes flexibility, meaning, development, leadership transparency, work–life balance, and organizational support. These findings suggest a locally relevant engagement scorecard focused on actionable resources rather than imported benchmark questions alone. Recommended domains include sustainable workload, role clarity, manager support, learning access, psychological safety, perceived fair

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that HR analytics and emotional intelligence are mutually reinforcing capabilities for enhancing employee engagement in Indonesian startup companies. HR analytics enables organizations to identify patterns related to workload, managerial support, development opportunities, flexibility, fairness, and retention risk. However, analytical information does not automatically create engagement. Its value depends on emotionally intelligent leaders who can interpret workforce evidence contextually, regulate defensive reactions, conduct empathetic dialogue, and translate findings into fair and visible organizational action. Meta-analytic evidence linking emotional intelligence with stronger commitment, satisfaction, performance, and lower stress supports this relational mechanism. The findings further demonstrate that psychological safety and data trust are essential boundary conditions. Excessive monitoring, opaque predictive models, poor-quality data, and failure to respond to employee feedback may transform analytics from an organizational resource into a source of surveillance and disengagement. Indonesian startups should therefore adopt proportionate data collection, transparent purposes, secure processing, employee participation, and consistent feedback closure in accordance with Indonesia's personal-data-protection framework.

REFERENCE

- Ajmal, M., et al. (2003). Adsorption studies on rice husk: removal and recovery of Cd(II) from wastewater. *Bioresource Technology*, 86(2), 147–149.
- Baker, Inas F and Ibrahim, Saliza. (14 – 15 December 2009). Reducing of Nickel from Aqueous Solution Using Palm Activated Carbon, presented at the 1st Technical Postgraduate Conference, Kuala Lumpur.
- Directorate of Research Development and Community Service. (1994). *Handbook of Writing Style, Editing, and Publishing of Scientific Work*. Jakarta: Depdikbud, Directorate General of Higher Education.